Additional Information

Agenda item 8

Scheme of Delegation

Below are a series of tables relating to items presented to the Planning Control Committee from May to October 2017.

The tables show how many items were considered by each Planning Control Committee, how many objections were received and how many had speakers address the Committee.

In summary:

May 2017	3 items had speakers who had objected out of 10 items presented
June 2017	2 items had speakers who had objected out of 4 items presented
July 2017	5 items had speakers who had objected out of 13 items presented
August 2017	3 item had a speaker who had objected out of 10 items presented
Sept 2017	1 items had speakers who had objected out of 6 items presented

It is evident the receipt of objections is not a reliable source to consider that objectors will address Committee.

Largely more controversial applications do generate interest to address Committee. However the proposed changes to the scheme of delegation would not affect this.

The more minor developments that have few objections evidently tend not to have speakers addressing the Committee.

Committee item number	Application ref	Did application have speakers?	Speakers for/against	Number of objections	Objectors who spoke at committee
1	59984	No	0/0	2	0
2	60045	Yes	1/0	7	0
3	61163	No	0/0	1	0
4	61223	Yes	1/1	1	1
5	61286	Yes	0/1	3	1
6	61296	No	0/0	2	0
7	61299	No	0/0	2	0
8	61300	Yes	1/0	11 + 1 Petition	0
9	61320	No	0/0	0	0
10	61369	Yes	0/1	7	1
Application	s with speakers	Applications wit	h no speakers	Application objector	
5		5		3	

3.0

		JUNE 2017 CO	MMITTEE		
Committee item number	Application ref	Did application have speakers?	Speakers for/against	Number of objections	Objectors who spoke at committee
1	61286	Yes	1/1	3	1
2	61363	No	0/0	1	0
3	61391	Yes	1/1	5	1
4	61447	No	0/0	1	0
Applications with speakers		Applications with no speakers		Applications that had objectors speak	
2		2		2	

١,

Committee item number	Application ref	Did application have speakers?	Speakers for/against	Number of objections	Objectors who spoke at committee
1	60992	Yes	1/1	121	1
2	61085	No	0/0	3	0
3	61086	No	0/0	4	0
4	61117	Yes	1/1	31	1
5	61135	No	0/0	0	0
6	61363	Yes	1/0	1	0
7	61364	No	0/0	3	0
8	61502	No	0/0	1	0
9	61530	Yes	1/1	7	1
10	61563	Yes	1/0	1	0
11	61572	Yes	1/1	8	1
12	61584	No	0/0	1	0
13	61613	Yes	0/1	1	1
Application	s with speakers	Applications witl	n no speakers	Application objector	
7		6		5	

٠,

Committee item number	Application ref	Did application have speakers?	Speakers for/against	Number of objections	Objectors who spoke at committee
1	61274	Yes	0/1	1	1
2	61423	No	0/0	1	0
3	61448	No	0/0	1	0
4	61474	No	0/0	0	0
5	61515	Yes	1/0	0	0
6	61564	No	0/0	1	0
7	61598	Yes	1/1	26	1
8	61613	No	0/0	1	0
9	61635	Yes	1/1	6	1
10	61677	No	0/0	1	0
Applications with speakers		Applications with no speakers		Applications that had objectors speak	
4		6		3	

١,

SEPTEMBER 2017 COMMITTEE						
Committee item number	Application ref	Did application have speakers?	Speakers for/against	Number of objections	Objectors who spoke at committee	
1	61239	No	0/0	16 + 2 petitions	0	
2	61705	No	0/0	0	0	
3	61746	No	0/0	2	0	
4	61752	Yes	0/1	3	1	
5	61790	No	0/0	2	0	
6	61834	No	0/0	72 + 1 Petition	0	
Application	s with speakers	Applications with	n no speakers	Application objector		
1		5		1		

Committee item number	Application ref	Did application have speakers?	Speakers for/against	Number of objections	Objectors who spoke at committee
1	59984	No	0/0	2	0
2	61239	No	0/0	16 + 2 petitions	0
3	61474	No	0/0	0	0
4	61752	Yes	0/1	3	1
5	61798	Yes	1/0	2	0
6	61829	No	0/0	2	0
7	61834	Yes	1/1	72 + 1 Petition	1
8	61850	Yes	1/1	2	1
Application	s with speakers	Applications wit	h no speakers	Application objector	
4		4		3	